| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 09/418,902 | KLEMP ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michele Kidwell | 3761 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Michele Kidwell. | (3) | |
| (2) Alberto Amatong. | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 12 February 2006 | Time: <u>9:05am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | Applicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 10, 12-13, 18-75, 80, 82-85 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of the examiner requested an updated copy of the listing of canceled, but omitted in the listing dated January 20, 200 | claims that includes the above rea | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession. | on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |